

ON G-CONVERGENCE OF POSITIVE SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS

HASAN ALMANASREH AND MAHMOUD SHALALFEH

ABSTRACT. We apply G-convergence theory to study the asymptotic of the eigenvalue problems of positive definite bounded self-adjoint h -dependent operators as $h \rightarrow \infty$. Two operators are considered; a second order elliptic operator and a general linear operator. Using the definition of G-convergence of elliptic operator, we review convergence results of the elliptic eigenvalue problem as $h \rightarrow \infty$. Also employing the general definition of G-convergence of positive definite self-adjoint operator together with Γ -convergence of the associated quadratic form, we characterize the G-limit as $h \rightarrow \infty$ of the general operator with some classes of perturbations. As a consequence, we also prove the convergence of the corresponding spectrum.

1. INTRODUCTION

Heterogeneous structures of materials appear often in physics, chemistry, mechanics, life sciences, and engineering. Very often one is also led to consider heterogeneous structures with a very fine and complicated microstructure. Phenomena like heat conduction or transport phenomenon are such structures which typically modeled by mathematical systems such as ordinary differential equations (ODE's) or partial differential equations (PDE's), where the presence of fine microscopic scale is reflected in rapid oscillations of the coefficients. This situation can in general not be treated directly, and if it could be feasible, the numerical methods employed to solve the problem require very fine degree of resolution so that the mesh can capture the oscillations which of course costs a lot, and in some situations, despite of mesh refinement, the solution will be out of reach.

In the present work we consider the convergence of the eigenvalue problem

$$\mathbf{H}_h u_h = \lambda_h u_h$$

for two different operators $\mathbf{H}_h$ in some suitable Hilbert spaces. We first consider $\mathbf{H}_h = -\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}_h(x)\nabla)$ defined on $L^2(\Omega)$ with domain $H_0^1(\Omega)$, where $\mathbf{A}_h(x)$ is some

Key words and phrases. G-convergence, Γ -convergence, quadratic form, elliptic operator, self-adjoint, eigenvalues.

1- Mathematics Department, Hebron University, P.O. Box 40, Hebron, West Bank, Palestine.

2- Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Gothenburg, SE-412 96 Gothenburg, Sweden.

admissible coefficient matrix, and Ω is an open bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 1$. Then we consider another arbitrary operator $\mathbf{H}_h = \mathbf{H}_0 + V_h$ defined on $L^2(\Omega)$, Ω as defined before, where $\mathbf{H}_0$ is a positive definite bounded self-adjoint operator, and V_h is a positive bounded Hermitian multiplicative perturbation. We are interested in the behavior of the operator $\mathbf{H}_h$ as the parameter $h \rightarrow \infty$, particularly we are interested in the asymptotic behavior of the point spectrum (the eigenvalues).

We will use classical operator and variational convergence theory. G-convergence theory is well-known for its applications in homogenization of partial differential equations. The concept was introduced in the late 1960's [9, 13, 14, 15] for linear elliptic and parabolic problems with symmetric coefficient matrices. Then the concept was extended to non-symmetric coefficient matrices [10, 19, 20, 21] known as H-convergence. The definition was then generalized to positive definite self-adjoint operators [6]. Later on, plenty of invaluable results are achieved for the elliptic and hyperbolic problems. In [2, 4, 5] G-convergence of monotone operators is proved. In [16, 17, 18] G-convergence of nonlinear parabolic operators is studied. The theory of G-convergence of differential operators in general is treated in [23, 24]. Through out this paper, we will use the name G-convergence of the case of non-symmetric matrices as well.

The study of G-convergence of operators is often associated to the study of the asymptotic behavior for the associated quadratic forms in the calculus of variations via the notion of Γ -convergence which was introduced in the mid 1970's [8]. Here, we utilize and combine the two concepts in order to prove G-compactness for the operator $\mathbf{H}_h = \mathbf{H}_0 + V_h$.

For the operator $\mathbf{H}_h = -\text{div}(\mathbf{A}_h(x)\nabla)$, the coefficient matrix $\mathbf{A}_h$ is positive definite and bounded, then by the G-compactness criterion for elliptic operators, $\mathbf{H}_h$ has a G-limit as $h \rightarrow \infty$. The operator $\mathbf{H}_h = \mathbf{H}_0 + V_h$ is positive definite, bounded, and self-adjoint, then using Γ -convergence for its associated quadratic form and the relation between G-convergence and Γ -convergence, we prove that $\mathbf{H}_h$ admits a G-limit as $h \rightarrow \infty$. Under suitable assumptions on the coefficient matrix $\mathbf{A}_h(x)$ and on the perturbation V_h we characterize the G-limits. Consequently we prove the convergence of the corresponding eigenvalues.

The paper is arranged as follows: In Section 2 we provide the reader with basic preliminaries on G-convergence and Γ -convergence. In Section 3 we revisit G-convergence of elliptic operators, and study the convergence properties of the corresponding eigenvalue problems. In Section 4 we prove the G-limit of the operator $\mathbf{H}_h = \mathbf{H}_0 + V_h$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In what follows Ω will be an open bounded subset of $\mathbb{R}^N$, $N \geq 1$, further the notations $\rightharpoonup$ and $\ast\rightharpoonup$ will denote weak and weak * convergence respectively. The domain is denoted by $\mathbf{D}$. Also c and C will denote real constants that might be different at each occurrence and are independent of all parameters, unless otherwise explicitly specified. The scalar products and norms are denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\| \cdot \|$ respectively, where the norms $\| \cdot \|$ will be given indices to distinguish between them, while $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ are left without indices and their current definitions are obvious from the content.

2.1. G-convergence. For comprehensive materials on G-convergence we refer to e.g. [7, 11, 12], and for a general setting to positive definite self-adjoint operators to the monograph [6]. Below we state two definitions of G-convergence; of elliptic operators and the general definition of positive definite self-adjoint operators.

Consider two positive real numbers α and β such that $0 < \alpha \leq \beta < \infty$, and define the following set of matrices

$$S(\alpha, \beta, \Omega) = \{ \mathbf{A} \in L^\infty(\Omega)^{N \times N} ; (\mathbf{A}(x, \xi), \xi) \geq \alpha |\xi|^2 \text{ and } |\mathbf{A}(x, \xi)| \leq \beta |\xi|, \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N \text{ and a.e. } x \in \Omega \}.$$

We shall define G-convergence of the following sequence of elliptic Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}_h(x, Du_h)) = f \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u_h \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Definition 1. The sequence $\mathbf{A}_h$ in $S(\alpha, \beta, \Omega)$ is said to be G -convergent to $\mathbf{A} \in S(\alpha, \beta, \Omega)$, denoted as $\mathbf{A}_h \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{A}$, if for every $f \in H^{-1}(\Omega)$, the sequence u_h of solutions of (1) satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} u_h &\rightharpoonup u \text{ in } H_0^1(\Omega), \\ \mathbf{A}_h(\cdot, Du_h) &\rightharpoonup \mathbf{A}(\cdot, Du) \text{ in } [L^2(\Omega)]^N, \end{aligned}$$

where u is the unique solution of the problem

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}(x, Du)) = f \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

In the sequel we will only consider the case of linear coefficients matrix $\mathbf{A}_h$, i.e., from now on $\mathbf{A}_h(x, \xi) = \mathbf{A}_h(x)\xi$.

Here are some results that will be used later. These results are given without proofs, for the proofs we refer to [7, 10].

Theorem 1. *G-compactness Theorem.*

For every sequence $\mathbf{A}_h$ in $S(\alpha, \beta, \Omega)$ there exists a subsequence, still denoted by $\mathbf{A}_h$, and a map $\mathbf{A} \in S(\alpha, \beta, \Omega)$, such that $\mathbf{A}_h \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{A}$.

Theorem 2. *Uniqueness and Locality of G-limit.*

- (i) $\mathbf{A}_h$ has at most one G-limit.
- (ii) If $\mathbf{A}_h = \tilde{\mathbf{A}}_h$ on $\omega \subset \subset \Omega$ and $\mathbf{A}_h \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{A}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{A}}_h \xrightarrow{G} \tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ then $\mathbf{A} = \tilde{\mathbf{A}}$ on ω .

Theorem 3. If $\mathbf{A}_h \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{A}$, then $\mathbf{A}_h^t \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{A}^t$.

Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be a Hilbert space, we provide below the general definition of G-convergence, first we set some useful definitions.

Definition 2. A function $F : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is said to be lower semi-continuous (*lsc*) at $u \in \mathcal{Y}$, if

$$F(u) \leq \sup_{U \in \mathcal{N}(u)} \inf_{v \in U} F(v),$$

where $\mathcal{N}(u)$ is the set of all open neighborhoods of u in $\mathcal{Y}$.

As a consequence of the above definition we have the following

- (i) The inequality in the above definition can be replaced by equality due to the fact that $F(u) \geq \inf\{F(v), v \in U\}, \forall U \in \mathcal{N}(u)$.
- (ii) F is *lsc* on $\mathcal{Y}$, if it is so at each $u \in \mathcal{Y}$.

Definition 3. A function F in $\mathcal{Y}$ is called quadratic form if there exists a linear dense subspace $\mathcal{X}$ of $\mathcal{Y}$ and a symmetric bilinear form $B : \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$F(u) = \begin{cases} B(u, u), & \forall u \in \mathcal{X}, \\ \infty, & \forall u \in \mathcal{Y} \setminus \mathcal{X}. \end{cases}$$

Let F and B be as in the definition above, where $\mathbf{D}(F) = \{u \in \mathcal{Y}; F(u) < \infty\}$. The operator associated to F is the linear operator A on $\overline{\mathbf{D}(F)}$ with domain being the set of all $u \in \mathbf{D}(F)$ such that there exists $v \in \overline{\mathbf{D}(F)}$ satisfying $B(u, f) = \langle v, f \rangle, \forall f \in \mathbf{D}(F)$ and $Au = v, \forall u \in \mathbf{D}(A)$. If $f = u$ then $F(u) = \langle Au, u \rangle, \forall u \in \mathbf{D}(A)$.

For $\lambda \geq 0$ we denote the following

- (1) By $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\lambda(\mathcal{Y})$ we denote the class of quadratic forms $F : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that $F(u) \geq \lambda \|u\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2$, and by $\mathcal{Q}_\lambda(\mathcal{Y})$ the subset of $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_\lambda(\mathcal{Y})$ whose elements are *lsc*.
- (2) By $\mathcal{P}_\lambda(\mathcal{Y})$ we denote the class of self-adjoint operators A on a closed linear subspace $\mathcal{V} = \overline{\mathbf{D}(A)}$ of $\mathcal{Y}$ such that $\langle Au, u \rangle \geq \lambda \|u\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2, \forall u \in \mathbf{D}(A)$.

Definition 4. Let $\lambda \geq 0$, and let $A_h \in \mathcal{P}_\lambda(\mathcal{Y})$. If $\lambda > 0$, we say that $A_h \xrightarrow{G} A \in \mathcal{P}_\lambda(\mathcal{Y})$ in $\mathcal{Y}$ if $A_h^{-1} P_h u \rightarrow A^{-1} P u$ in $\mathcal{Y}, \forall u \in \mathcal{Y}$, where P_h and P are the orthogonal projections onto $\mathcal{V}_h := \overline{\mathbf{D}(A_h)}$ and $\mathcal{V} := \overline{\mathbf{D}(A)}$ respectively. If $\lambda = 0$, we say that $A_h \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{Y})$ converges to $A \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{Y})$ in the strong resolvent sense (SRS) if $(\mu I + A_h) \xrightarrow{G} (\mu I + A)$ in $\mathcal{Y}, \forall \mu > 0$.

The following result provides a useful criterion for G-convergence of positive definite self-adjoint operators. See [6] for the proof.

Lemma 1. Given $\lambda > 0$, $A_h \in \mathcal{P}_\lambda(\mathcal{Y})$, and an orthogonal projection P_h onto $\mathcal{V}_h$. Suppose that for every $u \in \mathcal{Y}$, $A_h^{-1}P_h u$ converges in $\mathcal{Y}$, then there exists an operator $A \in \mathcal{P}_\lambda(\mathcal{Y})$ such that $A_h \xrightarrow{G} A$ in $\mathcal{Y}$.

2.2. Γ -convergence. For comprehensive introductions to Γ -convergence we refer to the monographs [3, 6].

Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be a topological space, and let F_h be a sequence of functionals from $\mathcal{Y}$ to $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$.

Definition 5. A sequence of functionals $F_h : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is said to be Γ -convergent to $F : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, written as $F(u) = \Gamma - \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(u)$ and denoted by $F_h \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F$ if

$$F(u) = \Gamma - \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(u) = \Gamma - \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(u),$$

where $\Gamma - \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty}$ and $\Gamma - \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty}$ are the Γ -lower and Γ -upper limits respectively defined by

$$F^i(u) := \Gamma - \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(u) = \sup_{U \in \mathcal{N}(u)} \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{v \in U} F_h(v)$$

and

$$F^s(u) := \Gamma - \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(u) = \sup_{U \in \mathcal{N}(u)} \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{v \in U} F_h(v).$$

By Definition 5, it is obvious that the sequence F_h Γ -converges to F if and only if $F^s \leq F \leq F^i$, this means that Γ -convergence and lower semi-continuity are closely related concepts. If in addition $\mathcal{Y}$ satisfies the first axiom of countability (the neighborhood system of every point in $\mathcal{Y}$ has a countable base), then $F_h \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F$ in $\mathcal{Y}$ if and only if the following two conditions are satisfied

- (i) $\forall u \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $\forall u_h$ converging to u , $F(u) \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(u_h)$.
- (ii) $\forall u \in \mathcal{Y}$, $\exists u_h$ converging to u such that $F(u) = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(u_h)$.

Remark 1. The following are some useful properties of Γ -convergence

- (1) A constant sequence of functionals $F_h = f$ does not necessarily Γ -converge to f , but to the relaxation of f , the largest *lsc* functional below f . This is due to the fact that f might not be *lsc*.
- (2) The Γ -limit is always *lsc*.
- (3) Γ -convergence is stable under continuous perturbation, i.e., if $F_h \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F$ in $\mathcal{Y}$ and $G : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is continuous, then $F_h + G \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F + G$.
- (4) The Γ -limit of a non-negative quadratic form is also a non-negative quadratic form.

Γ -convergence possesses the compactness property, that is, if $\mathcal{Y}$ is a separable metric space, then every sequence of functionals $F_h : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ has a Γ -convergent subsequence.

The following theorem is the cornerstone of the relation between Γ -convergence of quadratic forms of the class $\mathcal{Q}_\lambda(\mathcal{Y})$ (respectively $\mathcal{Q}_0(\mathcal{Y})$) and G-convergence of the associated operators of the class $\mathcal{P}_\lambda(\mathcal{Y})$ for $\lambda > 0$ (respectively strong resolvent convergence of the associated operators of the class $\mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{Y})$). For the proof of this theorem we refer to [3, 6].

Theorem 4. Let $\lambda > 0$ be a real number, F_h and F be elements of $\mathcal{Q}_0(\mathcal{Y})$, and let $A_h, A \in \mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{Y})$ be the associated operators respectively. Then the following are equivalent

- (a) $F_h \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F$.
- (b) $(F_h + \lambda \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2) \xrightarrow{\Gamma} (F + \lambda \|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{Y}}^2)$.
- (c) $(A_h + \lambda I) \xrightarrow{G} (A + \lambda I)$.
- (d) $A_h \rightarrow A$ in the SRS.

Also if $F_h, F \in \mathcal{Q}_\mu(\mathcal{Y})$ for $\mu > 0$, and $A_h, A \in \mathcal{P}_\mu(\mathcal{Y})$ are the associated operators respectively, then the following are equivalent

- (e) $F_h \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F$.
- (f) $A_h \xrightarrow{G} A$.

3. G-CONVERGENCE OF ELLIPTIC OPERATORS

In this section we review some basic results of G-convergence of elliptic operators with source function f_h , where the main task is the discussion of eigenvalue problems ($f_h = \lambda_h u_h$). Before proceeding, a time is devoted to study the Dirichlet boundary value problem with h -dependent source function, which turns out to be useful in setting the results of the corresponding eigenvalue problem. The following two lemmas are useful in proving the homogenization results, we refer to [10] for the proofs.

Lemma 2. Let $\xi_h \in [L^2(\Omega)]^N$ be weakly convergent to ξ in $[L^2(\Omega)]^N$, and $u_h \in H^1(\Omega)$ weakly convergent to u in $H^1(\Omega)$, if

$$\operatorname{div}(\xi_h) \rightarrow \operatorname{div}(\xi) \text{ in } H^{-1}(\Omega),$$

then

$$\langle \xi_h, Du_h \rangle \rightharpoonup \langle \xi, Du \rangle \text{ in } D^*(\Omega),$$

where $D^*(\Omega)$ is the dual space of the dense space $D(\Omega) = C_0^\infty(\Omega)$.

Lemma 3. Let $\mathbf{A}_h \in S(\alpha, \beta, \Omega)$, and assume that u_h and v_h in $H^1(\Omega)$ are weakly convergent to u and v in $H^1(\Omega)$ respectively, and such that

$$\xi_h = \mathbf{A}_h \nabla u_h \rightharpoonup \xi \text{ in } [L^2(\Omega)]^N.$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\xi_h) \rightarrow \operatorname{div}(\xi) \text{ in } H^{-1}(\Omega).$$

$$\zeta_h = \mathbf{A}_h^t \nabla v_h \rightharpoonup \zeta \text{ in } [L^2(\Omega)]^N.$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\zeta_h) \rightarrow \operatorname{div}(\zeta) \text{ in } H^{-1}(\Omega).$$

then

$$\langle \xi, \nabla v \rangle = \langle \nabla u, \zeta \rangle \text{ a.e in } \Omega.$$

The main homogenization results for the linear elliptic eigenvalue problem are stated in the following theorem.

Theorem 5. Consider the linear elliptic eigenvalue problem

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}_h(x) \nabla u_h^k) = \lambda_h^k u_h^k & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_h^k \in H_0^1(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{A}_h \in S(\alpha, \beta, \Omega)$ is symmetric and positive definite. Then the sequences of eigenvalues λ_h^k and the corresponding eigenfunctions u_h^k of (3) converge to λ^k in $\mathbb{R}$ and weakly to u^k in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ respectively, where the eigencouple $\{\lambda^k, u^k\}$ is the solution to the G-limit problem

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}(x) \nabla u^k) = \lambda^k u^k & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u^k \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Remark 2. For equation (3) the following are well-known facts

- (i) $0 < \lambda_h^1 \leq \lambda_h^2 \leq \lambda_h^3 \leq \dots < \infty$.
- (ii) The multiplicity of λ_h^k is finite.
- (iii) The sequence u_h^k forms an orthonormal basis for $L^2(\Omega)$.

Before proving Theorem 5, we state and prove the following theorem for elliptic boundary value problem with source function f_h .

Theorem 6. For the Dirichlet boundary value problem

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}_h(x) \nabla u_h) = f_h & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u_h \in H_0^1(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

if $\mathbf{A}_h \in S(\alpha, \beta, \Omega)$ and if f_h converges in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ to f , then the sequence u_h of solutions to (5) is weakly convergent in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ to the solution of

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}(x) \nabla u) = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

where $\mathbf{A}$ is the G-limit of $\mathbf{A}_h$.

Proof. The weak form of (5) is to find $u_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ such that $\forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$

$$(7) \quad a_h(u_h, v) = \langle f_h, v \rangle,$$

where $a_h(u_h, v) = \langle \mathbf{A}_h \nabla u_h, \nabla v \rangle$. Since $\mathbf{A}_h \in S(\alpha, \beta, \Omega)$, we have the following a priori estimate

$$\alpha \|u_h\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq a_h(u_h, u_h) = \langle f_h, u_h \rangle \leq c \|f_h\|_{H^{-1}(\Omega)} \|u_h\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)},$$

hence

$$(8) \quad \|u_h\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha}.$$

By (8) and the upper bound of $\mathbf{A}_h$

$$(9) \quad \|\mathbf{A}_h \nabla u_h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq C \frac{\beta}{\alpha}.$$

So both u_h and $\mathbf{A}_h \nabla u_h$ are bounded sequences in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $[L^2(\Omega)]^N$ respectively, therefore, up to subsequences still denoted by u_h and $\mathbf{A}_h \nabla u_h$

$$(10) \quad u_h \rightharpoonup u \text{ in } H_0^1(\Omega)$$

and

$$(11) \quad \mathbf{A}_h \nabla u_h \rightharpoonup \mathcal{M} \text{ in } [L^2(\Omega)]^N.$$

Claim: we argue that $\mathcal{M} = \mathbf{A} \nabla u$, where $\mathbf{A}$ is the G-limit of $\mathbf{A}_h$ (the existence and uniqueness of $\mathbf{A}$ is guaranteed by virtue of Theorems 1 and 2).

Proof of the claim. By (11) it holds that

$$(12) \quad -\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}_h \nabla u_h) \rightharpoonup -\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{M}) \text{ in } H^{-1}(\Omega),$$

which means that $\forall v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$

$$(13) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \langle -\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}_h \nabla u_h), v \rangle = \langle -\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{M}), v \rangle.$$

Since f_h converges to f in $H^{-1}(\Omega)$ and by (5),

$$(14) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \langle -\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}_h \nabla u_h), v \rangle = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_h, v \rangle = \langle f, v \rangle.$$

By the uniqueness of weak limit, together with (13) and (14) we get

$$(15) \quad -\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{M}) = f.$$

Since $\mathbf{A}_h \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{A}$, by Theorem 3 it is also true that $\mathbf{A}_h^t \xrightarrow{G} \mathbf{A}^t$. Consider now

$$(16) \quad \langle \mathbf{A}_h \nabla u_h, \nabla v_h \rangle = \langle \nabla u_h, \mathbf{A}_h^t \nabla v_h \rangle$$

for a sequence $v_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ converging weakly to v in $H_0^1(\Omega)$. The limit passage of (16) together with Lemma 3 gives

$$(17) \quad \langle \mathcal{M}, \nabla v \rangle = \langle \nabla u, \mathbf{A}^t \nabla v \rangle,$$

hence

$$(18) \quad \langle \mathcal{M}, \nabla v \rangle = \langle \mathbf{A} \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle.$$

Take $\omega \subset\subset \Omega$ and v_h such that $\nabla v = z \in \mathbb{R}^N$ on ω , then (18) can be written as

$$(19) \quad \langle \mathcal{M} - \mathbf{A} \nabla u, z \rangle = 0,$$

consequently, by the density of v in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ we have

$$(20) \quad \mathcal{M} - \mathbf{A} \nabla u = 0,$$

which completes the proof of the claim.

By virtue of (15) and (20), u_h is convergent to u , where u is the solution of the homogenized equation

$$(21) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}(x) \nabla u) = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

and where $\mathbf{A}(x)$ is the G-limit of $\mathbf{A}_h(x)$. ■

By the uniqueness of the solution u to (21), one can drop the subsequence assumption and conclude that the whole sequence is convergent to u (any other subsequence of u_h has to converge only to u by the uniqueness of solution, thus the entire sequence converges to the same limit as its all subsequences).

Now we give the proof of the main result of this section: Theorem 5.

Proof of Theorem 5.

By virtue of Theorem 6, it suffices to prove

$$(22) \quad \lambda_h^k \rightarrow \lambda^k \text{ in } \mathbb{R},$$

$$(23) \quad u_h^k \rightarrow u^k \text{ in } L^2(\Omega).$$

Indeed, since we can set in Theorem 6 $f_h = \lambda_h^k u_h^k$ which, using (22) and (23), converges to $f = \lambda^k u^k$ in $L^2(\Omega) \forall k$ by the following

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_h - f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &= \|\lambda_h^k u_h^k - \lambda^k u_h^k + \lambda^k u_h^k - \lambda^k u^k\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq |\lambda_h^k - \lambda^k| \|u_h^k\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + |\lambda^k| \|u_h^k - u^k\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if (22) and (23) are satisfied, then by Theorem 6 the eigencouple $\{\lambda^k, u^k\}$ is the solution to the homogenized eigenvalue problem

$$(24) \quad \begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{A}(x) \nabla u^k) = \lambda^k u^k & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \{\lambda^k, u^k\} \in \mathbb{R} \times H_0^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Note that by Remark 2 part (i), the sequence λ_h^k is bounded in $\mathbb{R}$ for all k , so a subsequence, denoted by λ_h^k , can be extracted from λ_h^k such that

$$(25) \quad \lambda_h^k \rightarrow \lambda^k \text{ in } \mathbb{R}.$$

Also, since $\mathbf{A}_h(x) \in S(\alpha, \beta, \Omega)$ we have

$$(26) \quad \alpha \|u_h^k\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq a_h(u_h, u_h) = \langle \mathbf{A}_h u_h, u_h \rangle \leq \beta \lambda_h^k \|u_h^k\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2,$$

which implies $\|u_h^k\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq C$ for all k . Thus, up to a subsequence still denoted by u_h^k ,

$$(27) \quad u_h^k \rightharpoonup u^k \text{ in } H_0^1(\Omega).$$

Hence (23) is justified for a subsequence by Rellich-Kondrachov compactness theorem. The subsequence assumptions can be dropped by the uniqueness of limits, therefore the entire sequences λ_h^k and u_h^k converge to λ^k and u^k in $\mathbb{R}$ and $L^2(\Omega)$ respectively. $\blacksquare$

4. G-CONVERGENCE OF POSITIVE DEFINITE BOUNDED SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS

Let $\mathbf{H}_0$ be a positive definite bounded self-adjoint operator defined on $L^2(\Omega)$ with domain $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Assume that $V_h(x)$, $x \in \Omega$, is a positive bounded real-valued perturbation. In this section we discuss the asymptotic limit of the eigenvalue problem $\mathbf{H}_h u_h = (\mathbf{H}_0 + V_h)u_h = \lambda_h u_h$ as the parameter h tends to infinity. We utilize the general definition of G-convergence of positive definite self-adjoint operator together with Γ -convergence of the associated quadratic form to characterize the G-limit of $\mathbf{H}_h$ and to discuss the asymptotic limit of the corresponding eigenvalue problem.

The following theorem is a general setting for the relation between the eigenvalue problems of an operator and its G-limit in the class $\mathcal{P}_\lambda(\mathcal{Y})$ for $\lambda > 0$. Here we consider general Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{X}$ and $\mathcal{Y}$.

Theorem 7. Let $\lambda > 0$, let A_h be a sequence in $\mathcal{P}_\lambda(\mathcal{Y})$ G-converging to $A \in \mathcal{P}_\lambda(\mathcal{Y})$, and let $\{\mu_h, u_h\}$ be the solution of the eigenvalue problem $A_h u_h = \mu_h u_h$. If $\{\mu_h, u_h\} \rightarrow \{\mu, u\}$ in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{Y}$, then the limit couple $\{\mu, u\}$ is the solution of the eigenvalue problem $Au = \mu u$.

Proof. See [1]. $\blacksquare$

On contrary to the uniform resolvent convergence (uniform convergence), the strong resolvent convergence (strong convergence) does not imply the convergence of the spectrum, but at most we have that, if a sequence A_h is convergent in the SRS (or strongly convergent) to A , then every $\mu \in \sigma(A)$ is the limit of a sequence μ_h where $\mu_h \in \sigma(A_h)$, but not the limit of every sequence μ_h lies in the spectrum of A , see, e.g., [22]. The theorem below provides conditions on which G-convergence

of an operator in $\mathcal{P}_\lambda(\mathcal{Y})$ for $\lambda > 0$ (hence convergence in the SRS of operators of the class $\mathcal{P}_0(\mathcal{Y})$) implies the convergence of the corresponding eigenvalues.

Theorem 8. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be compactly and densely embedded in $\mathcal{Y}$, and let A_h be a family of operators in $\mathcal{P}_\lambda(\mathcal{Y})$, $\lambda > 0$, with domain $\mathcal{X}$. If A_h G-converges to $A \in \mathcal{P}_\lambda(\mathcal{Y})$, then $\mathcal{K}_h := A_h^{-1}$ converges in the norm of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y})$ ($\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{Y})$ is the set of bounded linear operators on $\mathcal{Y}$) to $\mathcal{K} := A^{-1}$. Moreover the k^{th} eigenvalue μ_h^k of A_h converges to the k^{th} eigenvalue μ^k of A , $\forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. See [1]. ■

The following lemma provides sufficient conditions for which Γ -convergence and pointwise convergence are equivalent.

Lemma 4. Let $\mathcal{Y}$ be a normed vector space and let F_h be a sequence of convex functions on $\mathcal{Y}$. Suppose that F_h is equi-bounded in a neighborhood of $u \in \mathcal{Y}$ (i.e., there exists $U \in \mathcal{N}(u)$ such that $|F_h(v)| \leq C$ for every $v \in U$ and all h), then

$$F^i(u) = \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(u), \quad \text{and} \quad F^s(u) = \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(u).$$

For the operators $\mathbf{H}_h = \mathbf{H}_0 + V_h$ and $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_0 + V$ we define respectively the corresponding quadratic forms

$$F_h(u) = \begin{cases} \langle \mathbf{H}_h u, u \rangle, & u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ \infty, & u \in L^2(\Omega) \setminus H_0^1(\Omega), \end{cases}$$

and

$$F(u) = \begin{cases} \langle \mathbf{H} u, u \rangle, & u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ \infty, & u \in L^2(\Omega) \setminus H_0^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

Theorem 9. Let V_h be a sequence in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ that converges weakly* to V . Then $\mathbf{H}_h$ G-converges to $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_0 + V$.

Proof. By Theorem 4, it suffices to prove that the associated quadratic form $\mathbf{F}_h(u)$ of H_h Γ -converges to the associated quadratic form $F(u)$ of $\mathbf{H}$. The convergence of the quadratic form is clear since by the weak* convergence of V_h to V in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(u) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left(\langle \mathbf{H}_0 u, u \rangle + \langle V_h u, u \rangle \right) \\ &= \langle \mathbf{H}_0 u, u \rangle + \langle V u, u \rangle \\ &= F(u). \end{aligned}$$

Then using Lemma 4 above we conclude the proof. ■

The following lemma proves the continuity of F_h in $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Lemma 5. $F_h(u)$ is continuous in $H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let $u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ be such that $\|u - v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} < \varepsilon$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |F_h(u) - F_h(v)| &= |\langle \mathbf{H}_h u, u \rangle - \langle \mathbf{H}_h v, v \rangle| \\ &\leq |\langle \mathbf{H}_h(u + v), (u - v) \rangle| \\ &\leq \|\mathbf{H}_h(u + v)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|u - v\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|\mathbf{H}_h(u + v)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

The term in the last inequality approaches zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, thus the lemma is proved. ■

The following theorem proves and characterizes the G-limit of $\mathbf{H}_h$ for another class of potentials V_h .

Theorem 10. If V_h is a weakly convergent sequence in $L^p(\Omega)$, $2 \leq p < \infty$, with a weak limit denoted by V , then $\mathbf{H}_h$ G-converges to $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{H}_0 + V$.

Proof. Let F_h and F be the quadratic forms corresponding to $\mathbf{H}_h$ and $\mathbf{H}$ respectively. Following Theorem 4, to prove that $\mathbf{H}_h$ G-converges to $\mathbf{H}$, is equivalent to show that F_h Γ -converges to F . To this end, we consider the quadratic form F_h of $\mathbf{H}_h$,

$$F_h(u) = \begin{cases} \langle \mathbf{H}_h u, u \rangle, & u \in H_0^1(\Omega), \\ \infty, & u \in L^2(\Omega) \setminus H_0^1(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

By the definition of Γ -convergence, to prove that F is the Γ -limit of F_h , is equivalent to justify the following two conditions

- (i) lim inf-inequality: For every $u \in L^2(\Omega)$, and for every sequence u_h converging to u in $L^2(\Omega)$, $F(u) \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(u_h)$.
- (ii) lim-equality: For every $u \in L^2(\Omega)$, there exists a sequence u_h converging to u in $L^2(\Omega)$ such that $F(u) = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(u_h)$.

To prove the lim inf-inequality we assume that $u_h \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Otherwise the proof is obvious. By the continuity of F_h in $H_0^1(\Omega)$ and since piecewise affine functions are dense in $H_0^1(\Omega)$, it suffices to prove the inequality for this class of functions (the same holds true for the lim-equality).

Let $\Omega = \cup_{j=1}^m \Omega^j$ where Ω^j are disjoint sets, and let u_h be linear in each Ω^j converging in $L^2(\Omega)$ to $u = \sum_{j=1}^m (a_j x + b_j) \chi_{\Omega^j}$, where a_j and b_j are elements of $\mathbb{C}^3$ and the product $a_j x$ is understood to be componentwise. Consider now F_h with the sequence u_h ,

$$(28) \quad F_h(u_h) = \langle \mathbf{H}_0 u_h, u_h \rangle + \langle V_h u_h, u_h \rangle.$$

Since $u_h \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$,

$$(29) \quad \langle \mathbf{H}_0 u, u \rangle = \|\mathbf{H}_0^{1/2} u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \|\mathbf{H}_0^{1/2} u_h\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mathbf{H}_0 u_h, u_h \rangle.$$

Hence

$$(30) \quad \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(u_h) \geq \langle \mathbf{H}_0 u, u \rangle + \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} V_h |u_h|^2 dx.$$

For the last term of (30)

$$(31) \quad \begin{aligned} \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} V_h |u_h|^2 dx &= \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} V_h |u + u_h - u|^2 dx \\ &\geq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} V_h |u|^2 dx + \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} V_h u^* (u_h - u) dx + \\ &\quad + \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} V_h u (u_h - u)^* dx. \end{aligned}$$

The symbol $*$ in (31) refers to the complex conjugate. The first term to the right of the inequality of (31) converges to $\int_{\Omega} V |u|^2 dx$ by the weak convergence of V_h to V in $L^p(\Omega)$, $2 \leq p < \infty$. Since $u_h \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(\Omega)$, the second and third terms to the right of the inequality of (31) are vanishing as $h \rightarrow \infty$. Thus we have the lim inf-inequality, namely

$$(32) \quad \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(u_h) \geq \langle \mathbf{H}_0 u, u \rangle + \langle V u, u \rangle = F(u).$$

To prove the lim-equality for some convergent sequence, again by the continuity argument it is enough to justify the equality for a piecewise affine sequence. So consider $u_h = u = (ax + b)\chi_{\Omega}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} F_h(u_h) &= \langle \mathbf{H}_0 u, u \rangle + \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \langle V_h u, u \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathbf{H}_0 u, u \rangle + \langle V u, u \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

the resulted limit is due to the boundedness of the set Ω and the linearity of u . ■

By Theorem 8, the eigenvalues of the operator $\mathbf{H}_h$ converge to the eigenvalues of the G-limit operator $\mathbf{H}$ for those types of potentials considered in the last two theorems. Also employing Theorem 7, the eigenvalue problem $\mathbf{H}_h u_h^k = \lambda_h^k u_h^k$ converges to the limit problem $\mathbf{H} u^k = \lambda^k u^k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

As a consequence of G-convergence, if $E^{\mathbf{H}_h}$ and $E^{\mathbf{H}}$ are the spectral measures of $\mathbf{H}_h$ and $\mathbf{H}$ respectively, then

$$E^{\mathbf{H}_h}(\lambda) \rightarrow E^{\mathbf{H}}(\lambda) \text{ strongly, for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \text{ such that } E^{\mathbf{H}}(\lambda) = E^{\mathbf{H}}(-\lambda).$$

For the convergence of the associated unitary group, if $\mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{H}_h}(t)$ and $\mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{H}}(t)$ are the unitary operators generated by $\mathbf{H}_h$ and $\mathbf{H}$ respectively, then $\mathcal{U}_h^{\mathbf{H}_h}(t) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}^{\mathbf{H}}(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Almanasreh, N. Svanstedt, *G-convergence of Dirac operators*, J. Funct. Spaces Appl., 2012(2012).
- [2] A. Braides, V. Chiado-Piat, and A. Defranceschi, *Homogenization of almost periodic monotone operators*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Nonlinear, 9(1992), pp. 399-432.
- [3] A. Braides, *Γ -convergence for Beginners*, Oxford University Press Inc., New York, 2002.
- [4] V. Chiado-Piat, G. Dal Maso and A. Defranceschi, *G-convergence of monotone operators*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Anal. Non Lineare, 7(1990), pp. 123-160.
- [5] V. Chiado-Piat and A. Defranceschi, *Homogenization of monotone operators*, Nonlinear Anal., 14(1990), pp. 717-732.
- [6] G. Dal Maso, *An Introduction to Γ -convergence*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.
- [7] A. Defranceschi, *An Introduction to Homogenization and G-convergence*, Lecture Notes, School of Homogenization, ICTP, Trieste, 1993.
- [8] E. De Giorgi and T. Franzoni, *Su un tipo convergenza variazionale*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Mat. 58(1975), pp. 842-850.
- [9] E. De Giorgi and S. Spagnolo, *Sulla convergenze degli integrali dell'energia per operatori ellittici del secondo ordine*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., 8(1973), pp. 391-411.
- [10] F. Murat, *H-convergence*, Séminaire d'Analyse Fonctionnelle et Numérique de l'Université d'Alger, 1977.
- [11] M. Olsson, *G-convergence and homogenization of some monotone operators*, Thesis of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, Mid Sweden University, Östersund, 2008.
- [12] J. Silver, *G-convergence and homogenization involving operators compatible with two-scale convergence*, Thesis of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, Mid Sweden University, Östersund, 2007.
- [13] S. Spagnolo, *Sul limite delle soluzioni di problemi di Cauchy relativi all'equazione del calore*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa cl. Sci., 21(1967), pp. 657-699.
- [14] S. Spagnolo, *Sulla convergenza delle soluzioni di equazioni paraboliche ed ellittiche*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa cl. Sci., 22(1968), pp. 571-597.
- [15] S. Spagnolo, *Convergence in energy for elliptic operators*, Proc. Third Symp. Numer. Solut. Partial Diff. Equat. (College Park, 1975), pp. 469-498, Academic Press, San Diego, 1976.
- [16] N. Svanstedt, *G-convergence of parabolic operators*, Nonlinear Analysis, 36(1999), pp. 807-843.
- [17] N. Svanstedt, *Correctors for the homogenization of monotone parabolic operators*, J. Nonlinear Math. Phys., 7(2000), pp. 268-283.
- [18] N. Svanstedt, *Multiscale stochastic homogenization of monotone operators*, Networks and Heterogeneous Media, 2(2007), pp. 181-192.

- [19] L. Tartar, *Cours peccot au collège de France*, Paris, 1977.
- [20] L. Tartar, *Convergence d'opérateurs différentiels*, Analisi convessa, Roma, 1977.
- [21] L. Tartar, *Quelques remarques sur l'homogénéisation*, Proc. of the Japan-France seminar 1976, "Functional analysis and numerical analysis", pp. 469-482, Japan society for the promotion of science, 1978.
- [22] J. Weidman, *Strong operator convergence and spectral theory of ordinary differential operators*, Univ. Lagel. Acta Math. No., 34(1997), pp. 153-163.
- [23] V. V. Zikov, S. M. Kozlov, and O. A. Oleinik, *G-convergence of parabolic operators*, Russian Math. Surveys, 36(1981).
- [24] V. V. Zikov, S. M. Kozlov, and O. A. Oleinik, T. Kha, and Aben Noan, *Averaging and G-convergence of differential operators*, Russian Math. Surveys, 34(1979), pp. 69-147.